

Pattern Avoiding Colored Partitions

Adam M. Goyt
Minnesota State University Moorhead
goytadam@mnstate.edu

Lara K. Pudwell
Valparaiso University
Lara.Pudwell@valpo.edu

Valparaiso University

August 9, 2010

- 1 History and Definitions
- 2 Colored Partitions and Avoidance
- 3 A Flavor of the Proofs
- 4 Summary and Future Ideas

Outline

- 1 History and Definitions
- 2 Colored Partitions and Avoidance
- 3 A Flavor of the Proofs
- 4 Summary and Future Ideas

Who and What

- Pattern Avoidance in Permutations. (Knuth [4], Simion and Schmidt [7], and Boom!)

Who and What

- Pattern Avoidance in Permutations. (Knuth [4], Simion and Schmidt [7], and Boom!)
- Pattern Avoidance in Colored Permutations. Done by considering the set $S_n \wr C_k$. (Mansour [5], Egge [2], and Sizzle!)

Who and What

- Pattern Avoidance in Permutations. (Knuth [4], Simion and Schmidt [7], and Boom!)
- Pattern Avoidance in Colored Permutations. Done by considering the set $S_n \wr C_k$. (Mansour [5], Egge [2], and Sizzle!)
- Pattern Avoidance in Set Partitions. (Klazar [3], Sagan [6], Snap, Crackle)

Who and What

- Pattern Avoidance in Permutations. (Knuth [4], Simion and Schmidt [7], and Boom!)
- Pattern Avoidance in Colored Permutations. Done by considering the set $S_n \wr C_k$. (Mansour [5], Egge [2], and Sizzle!)
- Pattern Avoidance in Set Partitions. (Klazar [3], Sagan [6], Snap, Crackle)
- The notion of signed set partitions was considered by Anders Björner and Michelle Wachs [1] from a poset and homological perspective.

Who and What

- Pattern Avoidance in Permutations. (Knuth [4], Simion and Schmidt [7], and Boom!)
- Pattern Avoidance in Colored Permutations. Done by considering the set $S_n \wr C_k$. (Mansour [5], Egge [2], and Sizzle!)
- Pattern Avoidance in Set Partitions. (Klazar [3], Sagan [6], Snap, Crackle)
- The notion of signed set partitions was considered by Anders Björner and Michelle Wachs [1] from a poset and homological perspective.
- Now, we consider Pattern Avoidance in Colored Set Partitions. (Boom?)

Set Partition Definition

Definition

A *partition* π of a set S , written $\pi \vdash S$, is a family of disjoint nonempty subsets $B_i \subseteq S$, called *blocks*, such that $\uplus B_i = S$.

Set Partition Definition

Definition

A *partition* π of a set S , written $\pi \vdash S$, is a family of disjoint nonempty subsets $B_i \subseteq S$, called *blocks*, such that $\uplus B_i = S$.

We write

$$\pi = B_1 / B_2 / \dots / B_k,$$

where

$$\min B_1 < \min B_2 < \dots < \min B_k,$$

Set Partition Definition

Definition

A *partition* π of a set S , written $\pi \vdash S$, is a family of disjoint nonempty subsets $B_i \subseteq S$, called *blocks*, such that $\uplus B_i = S$.

We write

$$\pi = B_1 / B_2 / \dots / B_k,$$

where

$$\min B_1 < \min B_2 < \dots < \min B_k,$$

Let

$$\Pi_n = \{\pi : \pi \vdash [n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}, \text{ and } \Pi = \bigcup_n \Pi_n.$$

Set Partition Definition

Definition

A *partition* π of a set S , written $\pi \vdash S$, is a family of disjoint nonempty subsets $B_i \subseteq S$, called *blocks*, such that $\uplus B_i = S$.

We write

$$\pi = B_1/B_2/\dots/B_k,$$

where

$$\min B_1 < \min B_2 < \dots < \min B_k,$$

Let

$$\Pi_n = \{\pi : \pi \vdash [n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}, \text{ and } \Pi = \bigcup_n \Pi_n.$$

Example

$$137/25/46 \vdash [7]$$

Canonical Words

Definition

*Given any word $w \in [k]^n$ we may **canonicalize** w by replacing all occurrences of the first letter of w by 1, all occurrences of the next occurring letter by 2, etc.*

Canonical Words

Definition

*Given any word $w \in [k]^n$ we may **canonicalize** w by replacing all occurrences of the first letter of w by 1, all occurrences of the next occurring letter by 2, etc.*

Example

The canonized form of 47411477 is 12133122.

Canonical Words

Definition

*Given any word $w \in [k]^n$ we may **canonicalize** w by replacing all occurrences of the first letter of w by 1, all occurrences of the next occurring letter by 2, etc.*

Example

The canonized form of 47411477 is 12133122.

There is a bijection between all canonized words of length n and partitions of $[n]$.

Canonical Words

To each set partition is associated a canonical word $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$ where $a_i = j$ if $i \in B_j$.

Canonical Words

To each set partition is associated a canonical word $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$ where $a_i = j$ if $i \in B_j$.

Example

137/25/46 corresponds to 1213231.

Canonical Words

To each set partition is associated a canonical word $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$ where $a_i = j$ if $i \in B_j$.

Example

137/25/46 corresponds to 1213231.

We will say that a partition, π is of length n , $\ell(\pi) = n$, if its associated canonical word has n letters.

From now on we will refer to these canonical words as partitions.

Pattern Avoidance

Definition

Let σ be a partition with $\ell(\sigma) = n$ and π be a partition with $\ell(\pi) = k$. We say that σ **contains** π if there is a subsequence of σ of length k whose canonization is π . Otherwise we say that σ **avoids** π .

Example

Let $\sigma = 1213431$.

Pattern Avoidance

Definition

Let σ be a partition with $\ell(\sigma) = n$ and π be a partition with $\ell(\pi) = k$. We say that σ **contains** π if there is a subsequence of σ of length k whose canonization is π . Otherwise we say that σ **avoids** π .

Example

Let $\sigma = 1213431$.

σ contains a copy of 112 namely 1213431 or 1213431. However, σ avoids 1112.

Outline

- 1 History and Definitions
- 2 Colored Partitions and Avoidance
- 3 A Flavor of the Proofs
- 4 Summary and Future Ideas

Colored Partitions

Definition

A *colored partition* is a set partition where each element is given one of k colors.

Colored Partitions

Definition

A *colored partition* is a set partition where each element is given one of k colors.

Definition

Denote the set of all k -colored set partitions of $[n]$ by $\Pi_n \wr C_k$.

Example

Consider $\sigma = 1213431 \in \Pi_7$ from the previous slide. We can make σ an element of $\Pi_7 \wr C_3$ simply by choosing one of three colors for each of the elements. So $\textcolor{blue}{1}\textcolor{green}{2}\textcolor{red}{1}\textcolor{blue}{3}\textcolor{red}{4}\textcolor{blue}{3}\textcolor{red}{1} \in \Pi_7 \wr C_3$.

Avoiding Colored Partitions

Definition

We say that $\sigma \in \Pi_n \setminus C_k$ *contains* a copy of $\pi \in \Pi_m \setminus C_j$ if

- ① *the uncolored version of σ contains a copy of the uncolored version of π and*

Avoiding Colored Partitions

Definition

We say that $\sigma \in \Pi_n \setminus C_k$ *contains* a copy of $\pi \in \Pi_m \setminus C_j$ if

- ① *the uncolored version of σ contains a copy of the uncolored version of π and*
- ② *the colors of this copy of π equal the colors of π .*

Otherwise we say that σ *avoids* π .

Avoiding Colored Partitions

Definition

We say that $\sigma \in \Pi_n \setminus C_k$ *contains* a copy of $\pi \in \Pi_m \setminus C_j$ if

- ① *the uncolored version of σ contains a copy of the uncolored version of π and*
- ② *the colors of this copy of π equal the colors of π .*

Otherwise we say that σ *avoids* π .

Example

Consider $\sigma = 1211341$. Then σ contains a copy of 122 , but σ avoids 122 .

Avoiding Colored Partitions

Definition

We say that $\sigma \in \Pi_n \wr C_k$ *contains* a copy of $\pi \in \Pi_m \wr C_j$ if

- ① the uncolored version of σ contains a copy of the uncolored version of π and
- ② the colors of this copy of π equal the colors of π .

Otherwise we say that σ *avoids* π .

Example

Consider $\sigma = 1211341$. Then σ contains a copy of 122 , but σ avoids 122 .

Definition

For a set of colored set partitions S let $\Pi_n \wr C_k(S)$ be the set of partitions in $\Pi_n \wr C_k$ that avoid every pattern in S .

Outline

- 1 History and Definitions
- 2 Colored Partitions and Avoidance
- 3 A Flavor of the Proofs**
- 4 Summary and Future Ideas

Friendly Results

Theorem

For $n \geq 1$ and $c \geq 2$, $|\Pi_n \wr C_2(\text{11}, \text{11})| = \sum_{i=1}^n 2^i S(n, i)$
(OEIS A001861)

Theorem

For $n \geq 1$ and $c \geq 2$, $|\Pi_n \wr C_2(\text{12})| = (B_{n+2} - B_{n+1}) - (B_{n+1} - B_n)$
(OEIS A011965)

$$|\Pi_n \wr C_k(1\bar{1}2)|$$

Theorem

For $n \geq 1$ and $c \geq 2$, $|\Pi_n \wr C_k(1\bar{1}2)| =$

$$B(n)(k-1)^n + \sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \binom{n}{m} \binom{m}{j} B(n-j)(k-1)^{n-j} +$$

$$\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \sum_{a,b} \sum_{d,e} \sum_{f,g} \sum_m \sum_{p,q} \sum_{\ell} \binom{i-1}{a,b} \binom{j-i-1}{d,e} \binom{n-j}{f,g} \cdot$$

$$\binom{i-a-b-1}{m} \binom{j-i-d-e-1}{p} \binom{n-a-b-f-g-j+i-m-1}{q} \cdot$$

$$S(p+q, \ell) m^{\ell} B(n-a-b-d-e-f-g-m-p-q-2) \cdot$$

$$((k-1)^b + b(k-1)^{b-1})((k-1)^a + a(k-1)^{a-1})(k-2)^{d+p} k^e \cdot$$

$$(k-1)^{n-a-b-d-e-m-p-2}.$$

Back

Avoiding 112 – Sketch of Proof

The Proof of this Theorem can be broken into 3 cases.

Avoiding 112 – Sketch of Proof

The Proof of this Theorem can be broken into 3 cases.

Case 1: No elements are colored blue.

Avoiding 112 – Sketch of Proof

The Proof of this Theorem can be broken into 3 cases.

Case 1: No elements are colored blue.

Case 2: Exactly one block contains elements colored blue.

Avoiding 112 – Sketch of Proof

The Proof of this Theorem can be broken into 3 cases.

Case 1: No elements are colored blue.

Case 2: Exactly one block contains elements colored blue.

Case 3: At least two blocks contain elements colored blue.

No elements are colored blue.

In this case there can't possibly be a copy of 112.

- $B(n)$ (n^{th} Bell number) ways to partition the elements in $[n]$
- $(k - 1)^n$ ways to color each element any color but blue.

Thus there are $B(n)(k - 1)^n$ such 112 avoiding partitions in $\Pi_n \setminus C_k$.

Exactly one block contains elements colored blue.

In this case there can't possibly be a copy of 112.

- Select m elements to be in the block with the elements that are colored blue.
- Select j of these elements to be colored blue.
- Partition the remaining $n - m$ elements in $B(n - m)$ ways.
- Color the non-blue elements in $(k - 1)^{n-j}$ ways.

Thus there are

$$\sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \binom{n}{m} \binom{m}{j} B(n - m) (k - 1)^{n-j}$$

such partitions avoiding 112.

The Final Case

At least two blocks contain elements colored blue and there is no copy of 112 .

SEE BOARD!

[Jump to theorem](#)

Outline

- 1 History and Definitions
- 2 Colored Partitions and Avoidance
- 3 A Flavor of the Proofs
- 4 Summary and Future Ideas

Where Do We Go from Here?

- Generating Functions?

Where Do We Go from Here?

- Generating Functions?
- connections via OEIS

Where Do We Go from Here?

- Generating Functions?
- connections via OEIS
- Wilf Classes

Where Do We Go from Here?

- Generating Functions?
- connections via OEIS
- Wilf Classes
- eq-avoidance, lt-avoidance, color-pattern-avoidance

Where Do We Go from Here?

- Generating Functions?
- connections via OEIS
- Wilf Classes
- eq-avoidance, lt-avoidance, color-pattern-avoidance
- Set Partition Statistics

Thank You

THANK YOU



A. Björner, M. L. Wachs, Geometrically constructed bases for homology of partition lattices of type A , B and D , Electron. J. Combin. 11 (2) (2004/06) Research Paper 3, 26.

URL http://www.combinatorics.org/Volume_11/Abstracts/v11i2r3.html



E. S. Egge, Restricted colored permutations and Chebyshev polynomials, Discrete Math. 307 (14) (2007) 1792–1800.

URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2006.09.027>



M. Klazar, Counting pattern-free set partitions. I. A generalization of Stirling numbers of the second kind, European J. Combin. 21 (2000) 367–378.



D. E. Knuth, The art of computer programming. Volume 3. Sorting and Searching, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.-London-Don Mills, Ont., 1973.



T. Mansour, Pattern avoidance in coloured permutations, Sém. Lothar. Combin. 46 (2001/02) Art. B46g, 12 pp. (electronic).



B. E. Sagan, Pattern avoidance in set partitions, Ars Combin. 94 (2010) 79–96.



R. Simion, F. W. Schmidt, Restricted permutations, European J. Combin. 6 (1985) 383–406.